Water Classification Workgroup – Meeting #1

May 17, 2012, 9:00am-12:00pm

Items to include in the next rule:

Robert Brundage:

- Mary West's six amendments proposed at March 9 Clean Water Commission meeting:
 - 1. Aquatic life UAAs to be developed
 - 2. Include streams on 1:00k NHD w/ permanent pools and lakes that intersect
 - 3. Designated uses not intended to apply to SW conveyances/BMPs, etc.
 - 4. 1:100k NHD streams presumed to have rebuttal presumption of permanent flow or pools
 - 5. Missouri metallic mineral law be added to list
 - 6. Definition of biocriteria to be amended

Summary of three main points:

- 1. Use 1:100k NHD
- 2. No presumed uses for wastewater and stormwater conveyances
- 3. Need aquatic life use UAA (or "off-ramp")
 - Rule won't go into effect until 6 months after adoption of UAA protocol.
 - Might be helpful to have insights into how EPA feels about Iowa's aquatic life use protocol.
 - Trent Stober: need to get designated uses correct
 - At a future meeting Bob Angelo of EPA will provide an overview of "Waters of the U.S."
 - Bob Angelo indicated that it is unlikely that EPA could approve a rule with a delayed implementation one that did not go into effect until 6 months after adoption of UAA protocol.
- Is it even important to define stream segments for protection of designated uses?
- EPA wants to see some level of default use.
- MDNR wants to get away from focusing on exceptional, site-specific cases in favor of development of a classification system.
- In Kansas any stream receiving NPDES discharges are classified.
- John Hoke will forward information to group about permitted entities >2miles from classified water bodies.
- Missouri Coalition for the Environment (MCE) wants water classification rule to cover "all waters of the U.S.".
- Bob Angelo:

- There is a benefit to having a register of classified water bodies, along with a geographic/map representation of these.
- Start with a set of classified water bodies and from there add and subtract using scientifically defensible UAA protocol.
- John Hoke: This is what the previous proposed rule attempted to do
- Ed Galbraith: The rule needs reference biocriteria waters that are representative.
- Bob Angelo: In order to determine reference streams, Kansas used 1:100k+ NHD mapping to assess streams with human impacts.
- Lorin Crandall: If 1:100k covers all permitted entities, then why does the regulated community have a problem with using 1:24k?
- Robert Brundage: Believes no aquatic life present at this scale, so designating default aquatic life uses poses and undue burden.
- Matt Combes: Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) finds fish in streams with 400 acre watersheds macroinvertebrates are pretty much everywhere.
- John Hoke: Will Matt do a presentation on this?
- Ed Galbraith: Bottom line is that the group wants to protect "all waters of the U.S." that are represented at the 1:100k scale, when there is an onramp and off-ramp for applying designated uses.
- Lorin Crandall: MCE wants "all waters of the U.S." to include wetlands.
- Would wetlands get default Clean Water Act uses?
- If we include wetlands, what criteria do we use to establish uses?
 - Peter Goode will provide information on this presentation at a future meeting?
- Matt Combes: Central Plains Center for Bioassessment may be a useful place to look when trying to establish wetlands criteria.
- Trent Stober: We need to take a new look at losing stream bacteria criteria.
- Bob Angelo:
 - o Kansas has a prohibition against surface waters impacting groundwater.
 - o Alluvial aquifers are considered surface water in Kansas.
- Lorin Crandall: Rule should include provisions to have a water body added to classified waters without doing a UAA need to make it simple and inexpensive for citizens.

- Should we also have a streamlined process for removing designated uses an off-ramp?
- Is Level I Stream Team training adequate or appropriate for being able to provide data to get streams on or off the classified waters list?

John Hoke:

- o The UAA protocol should address what level of training is necessary.
- o MDNR with work with MDC to establish what degree of macroinvertebrate presence is appropriate for determining aquatic life use.
- Bob Angelo: Rule needs to clearly define ephemeral, intermittent and permanent at the outset.
- Lorin Crandall: TMDLs and Watershed Management Plans will be affected by increase in number of water bodies with designated uses.
- John Hoke: MDNR can use data from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in their determinations of what constitutes a "water of the U.S.".
- Ken Midkiff: Stream classification should include a mandatory review and assessment of Stream Team data.
- Bob Angelo: Rule needs to consider the definitions of aquatic life use classifications and the criteria used general vs. limited warm water fishery.

Rulemaking schedule:

Interagency Coordination:	July 25, 2012 – Aug.27, 2012
RIR on public notice:	Sept. 1, 2012 – Oct. 31, 2012
File with SBRFB, JCAR and SOS:	Nov. 15, 2012
Publication in Missouri Register:	Dec. 17, 2012
Public Comment Period:	Dec. 17, 2012 – March 13, 2013
Public Hearing:	March 6, 2013
Response to Comment & Adoption:	May 1, 2013
Order of Rulemaking filed w/ JCAR:	May 10, 2013
Order of Rulemaking filed w/ SOS:	June 10/11, 2013
Order of Rulemaking Published in Mo. Reg.:	July 17, 2013
Rule Published in CSR:	July 31, 2013
Rule Effective:	Aug. 31, 2013

Next meeting:

- How will increased number of water bodies affect 303(d) listing and TMDL development?
- Discuss tiered aquatic life framework
- Discuss schedule/timeline, along with process framework, for developing UAA protocol.
- Bob Angelo will present overview on "Waters of the U.S.".